



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,708	06/26/2001	Jose Joaquin Garcia-Luna-Aceves	UC2000-380-2	4694
8156	7590 06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
JOHN P. O'I O'BANION &	BANION RITCHEY LLP		JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB	
	L MALL SUITE 1550		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
. SACRAMEN	TO, CA 95814		2143	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplemental							
Notice	of Allowabili	ty					

Application No.	Applicant(s)  GARCIA-LUNA-ACEVES ET AL.		
09/892,708			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit	2143		

	Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit	2143	L
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed on 1</u>	<u>/14/05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,4,6-10,13,15-18,21,23-34,37</u>	<u>and 39-70</u> .		
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> are accepted by the Ex	xaminer.		
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the other priority documents have</li> <li>Three Months of the priority documents have</li></ul>	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this r of this communication to file a reply of this application.	national stage applica	quirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			OTICE OF
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus</li> <li>(a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S Amendment / Comment or in the O 84(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c	office action of ngs in the front (not the	
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT INFORMATION.</li> </ol>			lote the
	•		
Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal Page	• • •	D-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<ol> <li>Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No./Mail Date			
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🗌 Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allo	wance
of Biological Material	9. Other BUNK	AB JAROENCHONY RIMARY EXAMINER	MANIT

Art Unit: 2143

#### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

- 2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with John O'Banion on 5/5/05.
- 3. The application has been amended as follows:
- 4. -- 1. A method for coordinating multipoint group members in a multicast network environment, comprising:

transmitting control directives between group members across a shared end-to-end multicast tree;

aggregating the forwarding of said control directives;.

wherein multiple requests for the same information from different nodes in the tree are assembled in a hop node in the tree, and are forwarded combined;

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

10. A method for coordinating multipoint group members in a multicast network environment, comprising:

transmitting control directives between group members across a shared end-to-end multicast tree;

wherein if a target node is in the subtree of a node, the control directive is routed downward the subtree branch where the target resides;

wherein if a target node is not in the subtree of a node, the control directive is sent upward to its parent node; and

aggregating the forwarding of said control directives;

wherein multiple requests for the same information from different nodes in the tree are assembled in a hop node in the tree, and are forwarded combined;

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed un or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

18. A method for coordinating multipoint group members in a multicast network environment, comprising;

transmitting control directives between group members across a shared end-to-end multicast tree;

assigning recursively and top-down unique prefix labels to each node joining the tree; wherein a child node label contains as prefix the label of its parent; aggregating the forwarding of said control directives;

wherein multiple requests for the same information from different nodes in the tree are assemble-d in a hop node in the tree, and are forwarded combined;

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

30. A method as recited in claim 28, wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

Art Unit: 2143

34. A method for coordinating multipoint group members in a multicast network environment, comprising:

transmitting control directives between group members across a shared end-to-end multicast tree;

wherein said tree corresponds to a single shared acknowledgment tree for concurrent multicasting;

aggregating the forwarding of said control directives;

wherein multiple requests for the same information from different nodes in the tree are assembled in a hop node in the tree, and are forwarded combined;

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be s-satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

#### 46. A method as recited in claim 44:

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

Art Unit: 2143

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

# 54. A method as recited in claim 52:

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

## 61. A method as recited in claim 59:

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes.

Art Unit: 2143

### 68. A method as recited in claim 66:

wherein if said hop node receives the same control directives from different nodes, said hop node aggregates them into one control directive, and checks if a response to said control directives can be satisfied locally by said hop node by polling its own state and the state of neighboring nodes; and

wherein if a response to said control directives cannot be satisfied locally by said hop node said aggregated control directive is self-routed up or down in the tree toward the target nodes. --

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit whose telephone number is (571) 272-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2143

Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit Primary Examiner Art Unit 2143

/bj 5/5/2005